| 01 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 02 | | |
| 03 | | |
| 04 | | |
| 05 | | |
| 06 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 07 | AT SEATTLE | |
| 08 | FREDERICK FISCHER, |) CASE NO. C10-0106-JCC-MAT |
| 09 | Plaintiff, |) CASE NO. C10-0100-JCC-MA1 |
| 10 | v. |) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S) MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF |
| 11 | DANIEL GRIFFITH, et al. |) THE DISCOVERY DEADLINE |
| 12 | Defendants. |)) |
| 13 | | , |
| 14 | Plaintiff Frederick J. Fischer moves for an extension of the discovery deadline from | |
| 15 | June 2, 2011, to November 2, 2011. (Dkt. 38.) The Court DENIES this motion for an | |
| 16 | extension of the discovery deadline for the same reasons that it denied his previous two motions | |
| 17 | for an extension of the discovery deadline. (Dkt. 29.) Plaintiff has failed to explain how his | |
| 18 | opposition to defendants' summary judgment motion would be assisted by further discovery. | |
| 19 | See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). The Court will entertain a renewed motion for an extension of the | |
| 20 | discovery deadline should defendants' pending motion for summary judgment be denied. The | |
| 21 | Court will reject summarily any motions for an extension of the discovery deadline filed before | |
| 22 | that time. | |
| | PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE DISCOVERY DEAD PAGE -1 | DLINE |

| 01 | The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff. | |
|----|--|--|
| 02 | DATED this <u>25th</u> day of August, 2011. | |
| 03 | $m \circ d \circ $ | |
| 04 | Mary Alice Theiler | |
| 05 | United States Magistrate Judge | |
| 06 | | |
| 07 | | |
| 08 | | |
| 09 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| | PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE DISCOVERY DEADLINE | |

PAGE -2